

# BUILDING AND COMPLEX SAFETY AUDIT REPORT



# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Ι.	INI	RODUCTION	. 3
2.	SC	OPE OF THE AUDIT	. 3
3.	ME	THODOLOGY	. 3
	3.1.	Inspection Process	
	3.2.	Risk Management Approach	
4.	. SU/	MMARY	
	4.1.	Additional Recommendation	
5		FETY AUDIT CHECKLIST	
Ο.	5.1.	Driveways and Parking	
	5.2.	External Pathways	
	5.3.	Internal Pathways and Stairways	
	5.4.	Electrical Switchboards and Installations	
	5.5.	Emergency Escape Lighting and Exit Signs	
	5.6.	General Building	
	5.7.	Perimeter Fence	
	5.8.	Storerooms and Hazardous Chemicals	7
	5.9.	Pool Areas	8
	5.10.	Rooftop and Safety System	10
	5.11.	Plant Rooms	10
	5.12.	BBQ Areas	11
	5.13.	Lifts	12
	5.14.	Balustrades	12
	5.15.	Safety Observations	14
6.	REF	ERENCES	15
7.	DIS	CLAIMER	16

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Thank you for engaging Insert Your Company to undertake your audit on the insert date. Appreciation is extended for the assistance given and the willingness to improve safety at insert address.

Please note that risk management is an ongoing process; it is never complete this safety audit report is a platform to enable a continual improvement ess.

Where reference is made to the Work Health and Safety Act and the and Safety Regulations, it is strongly suggested the recommendations are

### **SCOPE OF THE AUDIT** 2.

The scope of the audit is to assist Insert Client in meeting Health and Safety Act, Work Health and Safety R Corporate's duty of care, and to maintain the co on p

## 3. **METHODOLOGY**

# 3.1. Inspection Process

CET FULL The inspection was conducted assessments and heukiist as This process draws measurement where necessary, with reference from applicable inlation su the \ and Safety, Electrical Gov Safety, Fire Safety, Building

ıda Other references used are ding Code of Australia, and tra general common la princi. ems or list were not commented on in the report, then not ar did not present a risk at the time vere ei of inspection.

# 3.2. Risk Mand

nd control me frough this report were based upon the ng objectiv and Safety laws (WHS laws) which is to protect overal ployees, volunteers and other persons who are the heal and we at or con rkplace.

# ommendation

r recommended that insert building or complex name obtain e.g. on that would enable contractors to be inducted to insert building or docum complex name; such documentation would assist contractors with safety compliance whilst conducting work on behalf of insert building or complex name.

Such a document would encompass a pre-qualification and a disclaimer which would also assist insert building or complex name in meeting legal obligations and protect against litigation.

> Version: 1.0 **Insert Date**

# 5. SAFETY AUDIT CHECKLIST

5.1. Driveways and Parking	Yes	No	N/A	Obser/ations	Recommendations
Were the driveways and parking areas free from slip/trip and impact hazards that could cause motor vehicle accidents or personal injury?  References AS/NZS ISO 31000				The driveways we of hardour conditions the gy to strips, falls or error accide.  No haze dentified of active.	.53
5.2. External Pathways	Yes	No	N/A	OL serv	Recommendations
Were the external pathways, walkways and stairways free from slip/trip and impact hazards that could cause personal injury?  References AS/NZS ISO 31000 BCA VOL 1 DP1				ern. I present ading to the strang of a uniform of a uniform of a uniform oute to trip, fall or act in the compact of a trip hazard at the base kway.	
5.3. Internal Pathways and Stairways		N	N/	Observations	Recommendations
Were the internal pathways, walkways stairways free from slip/tile pact hazards that could cause persual injury?  Ref: AS/NZS ISO 3100  BCA VOL 1 D2.17		OX	OFY	e internal stairways and the walkways were free of any hazardous conditions that may contribute to slips, trips, falls or impacts.  No hazards were identified at the time of inspection.	

© BC-REPORT-1 Version: 1.0 Insert Date

5.4. Electrical Switchboards and Installations	Yes	No	N/A	Observations Recommendations
Was the main switchboard clearly labelled and free from obstructions?  Ref: Electrical Safety Act Sec 38  AS/NZS 3000 Clause 2.9.2.4				The main switchboard was nowere free of obstructions.
Were all of the electrical circuits on the switchboard/s clearly identified? Ref: AS/NZS 3000, Clause 2.3.3.4				The electric so chowere cle idei ble.
Were the community lights protected by safety switches? Ref: Electrical Safety Act Section 38 AS/NZS 3000, Clause 1.5.6				It not nfirmed e of instruction in the same were pro salety
Were the community lights protected by Safety Switches that have been tested by a competent and ticketed electrician every two years? Ref: Electrical Safety Regulations				evidence able at the time pect action within a two year pe
Was the community power protected safety switch?  Ref: Electrical Safety Act Section 38  AS/NZS 3000 Clause 1.5 /			C C C	munity power was protected afety switch.
Was the community ower protective a safety switch that as been test a competent and tick and electricity years?  Ref: Electrical Safety Reg. 34		o <sup>Q</sup>		No evidence was available that safety switches have been tested by a competent and ticketed electrician within a two year period.